Investigating The Factors Affecting Successful BSC Implementation In The MAPNA Railway Sector

Mohsen Ghaderi, Department of Executive Business, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran - Iran
Hamidreza Saeednia, Department of Executive Business, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran - Iran
Hosein Vazifeh Doost, Department of Executive Business, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran - Iran

ABSTRACT
As a performance appraisal system and besides other traditional financial appraisal systems, Balanced Score Card (BSC) evaluates performance of organizations from three other perspectives, including customers, internal business processes, and growth and learning perspectives. As a whole, three main groups of effective factors on successful implementation of BSC are recognized, including Organizational, Staff and Top Management factors. In the verified model, these factors are shown. In the study done in the MAPNA railway sector, a sample of 35 persons was drawn to be used for the distribution of questionnaires. To verify the validity of the questionnaire, the face-validity method was used, and to verify its reliability, we used Cronbach's α. To determine the degree of normality of our data, we used the Kolomogorov-Smirnov method. Also, Spearman non-parametric correlation tests were used to test the hypotheses. The results verify all three hypotheses which indicate effectiveness of top management, staff and organizational factors in successful implementation of BSC. Top management is the most important factor, followed by organizational and staff. After verification of all three hypotheses, researchers tried to estimate the dependent variable (that is, successful implementation of BSC) using multiple regression analyses and the three main independent variables. This shows the simultaneous impact of the three independent variables on successful implementation of BSC. Based on the results, only staff factor is not statistically significant. It means that, for successful implementation of BSC, if “Top Management” and “Organizational” factors are considered correctly, indices related to staff automatically improve, too.
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INTRODUCTION
Institutions, enterprises and organizations, based on their missions, visions and goals, perform in national or international levels. They are accountable to stakeholders (including stockholders, customers, etc.). A profitable company that has a high degree of customer satisfaction is always successful, so examining performance results is considered a strategic process (Jasbi, Yaghoobi, et al., p. 102, 2001).

BSC is one of the newest methods to examine performance of organizations, which helps all mangers in all levels to monitor and control their key activities. Robert Kaplan and David Norton are creators of this model in strategic control. They proposed that managers should compile some data from four perspectives, including Financial, Customer, Internal Businesses and Learning perspectives, and then analyze them. As shown in Figure1, these four perspectives provide a framework for BSC (Norton, 2009, p. 253).
Performance of a comprehensive evaluation system heavily depends on its implementation process in the organization. That means if a performance appraisal system wants to actually evaluate strengths and weaknesses of an organization, the implementation process should be accurately considered. In studies done by Edwin, et al., they concluded that various methods in implementation of BSC lead to different results. This means that, because of unique characteristics of each organization, for a successful implementation of BSC, effective factors in the implementation process should be identified and its conditions should be accurately considered. Researchers tried to study the situation of MAPNA holding company to guarantee successful implementation of BSC. It is relatively easy to identify internal success factors of BSC but difficult to evaluate and monitor external factors. Identification of effective factors in the company can be a suitable model for other companies in MAPNA group or international corporations.

CONCEPTUAL MODEL

Kaplan and Norton refer to some leading factors in successful implementation of BSC, including staff and top management. In an article issued in 2009 at Harvard University, Kaplan wrote that support and stability of managers during implementation process of BSC are some of the most important top management factors. In addition, he believed that allocation of necessary resources for implementation of BSC is one of the key factors in its correct adoption in organizations. Also, about staff factors, Norton believed that group goal-setting and feedback-based trainings are some effective staff-related factors in implementation of BSC (Kaplan and Norton, 2009, p. 263).

Moreover, Edwin, et al., in their studies for implementation of BSC in Dutch companies, refer to awareness and consensus of staff for BSC. They believe that increasing awareness of personnel about the BSC implementation process increases its chance of success (Edwin & Braam, 2004, p. 337).

For organizational factors, Jackie Deem has done a lot of investigations. He believes that recognizing organizational culture and current situations are some of the most important factors in successful implementation of BSC. He adds that for successful implementation of BSC, vision, mission and strategies of organization should be considered to coordinate this appraisal system with long-term goals of the entity (Deem, 2009, p. 118).
Based on library studies and investigation of viewpoints of experts and managers, three main factors were identified in successful implementation of BSC, including:

1. Top management Factors
2. Staff Factors
3. Organizational Factors

As you see in Figure 2, each of the factors can be divided into some sub-categories

---

**Top Management Factors**
- Top management support
- Stability of top management
- Resource allocation
- cooperation and persistence of top management
- Providing information about BSC
- Designing training packages
- Using counselors' viewpoints
- Accurate selection of measures

**Staff Factors**
- Providing feedback about training packages
- Awareness from BSC concepts
- a process approach towards BSC
- Familiarity with an accountable reference
- Consensus on BSC measures
- Familiarity with roots and advantages of BSC
- Group goal-setting

**Organizational Factors**
- Organizational Readiness
- Inter-departmental relationships among various levels
- Suitable organizational culture
- Relationship between BSC and other performance measurement systems
- IT context
- Defined missions, visions and strategies

---

Figure 2: Conceptual Model, Relationship among Effective Factors in Successful Implementation of BSC (Kaplan and Norton, 2009)

---

**Successful Implementation**

Successful implementation of BSC means that all four organizational factors should be accurately and realistically monitored. These include financial, internal business, customer, and growth factors. Then strengths and weakness of each one can be clarified for managers (Kaplan and Norton, 2009, p. 102).

**Organizational Factors Hypothesis**

Organizational factors mean those factors that consider organizational aspects and examine the current situation of the organization for cultural factors, its visions, missions and strategies. In fact, situation of an organization would be discussed before implementation of BSC to assess its probable limitations during the
implementation process (Deem, 2009, p. 87).

\[ H_1: \] Organizational factors are effective in successful implementation of BSC.

**Top Management Factors Hypothesis**

In this aspect, top management support is considered in implementation of BSC. Stability of top management in the process and allocation of resources are considered effective factors in successful implementation of BSC (Norton, 2009, p. 275).

\[ H_2: \] Top Management Factors are effective in successful implementation of BSC.

**Staff Factors Hypothesis**

These factors concentrate on awareness of employees from BSC and their consensuses on measures. Training people based on feedback received and setting achievable and cooperatively-defined goals are some of the important factors (Kaplan, 2009, p. 273).

\[ H_3: \] Staff Factors are effective in successful implementation of BSC.

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

From data gathering and research points of view, this study is considered as a survey and descriptive method. It is also applied and cross-sectional research. Statistical population includes managers of MAPNA railway sector. Since volume of the society is limited, a simple systematic random sampling method is used. Because this study tries to identify effective factors on successful implementation of BSC, the sample includes those experts of the company which are familiar with concepts of BSC. To draw a sample, 35 experts of the company were selected and the questionnaires were distributed among them.

**GATHERING DATA**

To gather required data, some library researches and similar cases were studied. Then a prototype questionnaire was prepared and corrective viewpoints of professors and experts were considered and distributed among some commentators to find probable erroneous or irrelevant questions. Consequently, the final questionnaire was designed and distributed among the sample group. The questions were based on a five-point Likert scale. Face validity and Cronbach’s alpha were used to determine its validity and reliability, respectively. Fifteen questionnaires were distributed among the people as a pre-test, and the answers were tested by SPSS software. The alpha was equal to 0.928.

**ANALYSES AND FINDINGS**

To test normality of the data, a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used. Findings of the test for top management showed that the data are not normal. P-value was equal to 0.01 and because it was less than 0.05, non-parametric methods were used to analyze data. For organizational and staff factors, the related p-values were 0.044 and 0.018, respectively. Also, the relevant value for successful implementation of BSC was 0.035. As Kolmogorov-Smirnov test results show, none of the data are normal (for values less than 0.05), so non-parametric methods should be used for analyzing data.

**HYPOTHESES TESTING**

Because normality assumption of data is rejected, non-parametric methods are used to test the hypothesis.
Testing the First Hypothesis

Hypothesis: Organizational factors are effective in successful implementation of BSC.

There is no relationship between “organizational factors” and “successful implementation of BSC”. H₀: p₁=0
There is a relationship between “organizational factors” and “successful implementation of BSC”. H₁: p₁≠0

In the above hypothesis, p₁ denotes coefficient of correlation between “organizational factors” and “successful implementation of BSC”. The researchers’ hypothesis assumes a relationship between the two factors and is based on the H₀ hypothesis. Coefficient of correlation and the related test of the hypothesis are done using the Spearman method as shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Correlation Test for the First Hypothesis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spearman non-parametric Correlation Test</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successful Implementation of BSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the calculated Spearman coefficients of correlation and their probability (less than 0.05), the null hypothesis is rejected and the researchers’ hypothesis is accepted.

Testing the Second Hypothesis

Hypothesis: Top Management is effective in successful implementation of BSC.

There is no relationship between “Top Management” and “Successful Implementation of BSC”. H₀: p₂=0
There is a relationship between “Top Management” and “Successful Implementation of BSC”. H₁: p₂≠0

In the above hypotheses, p₂ denotes coefficient of correlation between “Top Management” and “Successful Implementation of BSC”. The researchers’ hypothesis assumes a relationship between the two factors and is based on the H₀ hypothesis. Coefficient of correlation and the related test for the hypothesis is done using the Spearman method as shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Correlation Test for the Second Hypothesis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spearman non-parametric Correlation Test</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successful Implementation of BSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the calculated Spearman coefficients of correlation and their probability (less than 0.05), the null hypothesis is rejected and the researchers’ hypothesis is accepted.

Testing the Third Hypothesis

Hypothesis: Staff factor is effective in successful implementation of BSC.

There is no relationship between “Staff Factor” and “Successful Implementation of BSC”. H₀: p₃=0
There is a relationship between “Staff Factor” and “Successful Implementation of BSC”. H₁: \( p_{3} \neq 0 \)

In the above hypothesis, \( p_{3} \) denotes coefficient of correlation between “staff Factors” and “successful implementation of BSC”. The researchers’ hypothesis assumes a relationship between the two factors and is based on the \( H_{0} \) hypothesis. Coefficient of correlation and the related test for the hypothesis is done using the Spearman method as shown in Table 3.

**Table 3: Correlation Test for the Third Hypothesis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spearman non-parametric Correlation Test</th>
<th>Staff Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spearman non-parametric correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>probability</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the calculated Spearman coefficients of correlation and their probability (less than 0.05), the null hypothesis is rejected and the researchers’ hypothesis is accepted.

**OTHER FINDINGS**

After verification of all three main hypotheses, the researchers ranked current indices for organizational, top management and staff factors using Spearman coefficients of correlation. For top management, there are two factors - support of top management and preparing training packages - which have a high priority. For staff, their awareness from concepts of BSC and having a process approach toward BSC were identified as two main factors. For the organizational factor, availability of IT infrastructures and coordination of BSC with other performance appraisal systems were considered as two main factors.

**CONCLUSION**

The current study recognizes effective factors on successful implementation of BSC in the MAPNA railway sector. The main results of the study show that all three hypotheses were accepted. It means that all top management, staff and organizational factors are effective in successful implementation of BSC. Based on the analyses, top management is the most important factor followed by organizational and staff factors.

After verification of all three hypotheses, the researchers tried to use multi-variable regression analysis and the three main variables to estimate their impact on the dependent variable (that is, successful implementation of BSC). This shows simultaneous impact of the three variables on success of BSC. Based on the analyses of the model, only the “Staff” variable is not statistically significant. In other words, for successful implementation of BSC, if “Top Management” and “Organizational” factors are considered correctly, indices related to “Staff” automatically improve too. So, the final regressed model is as shown in Figure 4.
AUTHOR INFORMATION

Mohsen Ghader is the Executive Master of Business Administration, Islamic Azad University, Tehran science and research branch. Address: toward Hesarak, Ashrafi Esfahani Highway, Tehran, Iran. Corresponding author e-mail: ms_ghaderi@yahoo.com.

Hamidreza Saeednia is an Assistant professor, a member of scientific board of Islamic Azad University, north branch, Tehran, a factually of management, south Makarn St., Heravi Sq., Pasdaran St., Tehran, Iran.

Hosein Vazifeh Doost is an Assistant professor, a member of scientific board of Islamic Azad University, Tehran science and research branch. Address: toward Hesarak, Ashrafi Esfahani Highway, Tehran, Iran.

REFERENCES

1. 2GC Limited (2009), "2GC Balanced Scorecard Usage Survey 2009", “2GC Balanced Scorecard Usage Survey 2009”.
13. GC Performance Management Software Database “2GC Performance Management Software Database”.