Environmental's Proactivity Measurement Tool Validation

Ángel Peiró-Signes, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain María-del-Val Segarra-Oña, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain & INERTE, Intl. Network Research on Tourism and Environment Blanca de-Miguel-Molina, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain María de-Miguel-Molina, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain

ABSTRACT

Environmental proactivity is raised as a fundamental part of the corporate social responsibility of companies. Moreover, environmentally responsible behavior is part of the culture of the company and generates both, tangible and intangible benefits. Different classifications depending on how companies react to the social environmental demand can be found, but a method applicable to industrial sectors still lacks. The aim of this paper is to validate a measurement tool for analyzing the incorporation of environmental proactivity to the overall strategy of the organizations and to characterize the industry's behavior as a differentiator and able to generate competitive advantages for the industry.

Keywords: Corporate Social Responsibility; Sustainable Development; Strategy; Environmental Proactivity

1. INTRODUCTION

ore companies are aware that they can contribute to sustainable development guiding its operations in order to promote economic growth and increase its competitiveness while ensuring environmental protection and promoting social responsibility, including consumers interests (Barba and Atienza, 2008, Phillis et al., 2003). In recent years, international initiatives that have promoted the incorporation of the Social Corporate Responsibility (CSR) in business strategy (European Communities, 2007) have emerged. The Social Responsibility of the company or Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is a set of obligations and commitments arising from the impacts that company's business originates within their social, labour and environmental scope (Carroll, 1999). In this new context, it is essential to analyse to which extent the environmental factor is part of the business strategy of companies.

Although research on environmental management is relatively recent, at this moment, it's an area of great scientific interest both for large corporations and small and medium-sized enterprises (Gadenne et al., 2009). Authors such as Horbach (2008) identified that the environmental regulation, the environmental management tools and the organizational changes represent an important and strong motivation for environmental innovation in enterprises (Segarra-Ona, et al., 2011). At a general level, the influence of factors like social pressure, environmental legislation, competitive advantages, the managers commitment in the environmental orientation of the company and the development of their strategies, have been already studied (Fraj et al., 2011).

2. THE ENVIRONMENTAL STRATEGY

There are several authors who have made business classifications based on the environmental strategy as shown in table 1. In general, four approaches based on endogenous and exogenous environmental risks are defined: reactive, proactive, strategic and preventive. As a seminal work in the field, Banerjee (2002) identified the factors that should be taken into account to carry out the classification. He also developed the research based on the theoretical arguments linking corporate environmentalism to the strategy, especially, the competitive strategy and the process of integration of environmental issues at the highest levels of strategic decision-making in order to

determine what types of enterprises according to the environmental commitment adopted. He established the existence of four types of companies according to their environmental commitment: companies with internal environmental orientation, IEO, companies with foreign environmental orientation, EEO, companies with corporate environmental strategy, CES, and corporate environmental marketing, CEM.

Table 1. Alternative classification of environmental strategies

Author	Classification	
Hunt & Auster (1990)	Beginner, fighter, concerned, pragmatic, proactive	
Winsemius & Guntram (1990)	Reactive, responsive, proactive constructive	
Roome (1992)	Non-acomplishment, acomplishment, extended acomplishment, excellence, leadership	
Azzone & Bertelè (1992)	Estable, reactive, proactive creative	
Sadgrove (1993)	Penalized, backward, conformist, leadership	
Aragón (1998)	Non-acomplishment, acomplishment, extended acomplishment, excellence, leadership	
Vastag, Kerekes & Rondinelli (1996)	Reactive, proactive in crisis, strategic, proactive	
Schaefer & Harvey (1998)	Beginner, fighter, concerned, pragmatic, proactive	
Henriques & Sadorsky (1999)	Reactive, defensive, accommodative, proactive	
Banerjee (2002)	Companies with internal environmental orientation, IEO, companies with foreign environmental orientation, EEO, companies with corporate environmental strategy, CES, and corporate environmental marketing, CEM	
Fundación Entorno (2003)	Leader, proactive, reactive, negative and indifferent	
Gonzalez Benito & Gonzalez Benito (2005)	Reactive, pro-certification, pro-design, pro-logistics and pro-business	

On the other hand, there are authors that identify the environmental strategy as something inherent to the business culture, facing the challenges of environmental regulation and the new socio-cultural changes with regard to the environment (Schwartz, 2009). Verbeke et al. (2006) integrated environmental strategies analysis and the development of specific environmental capabilities of the company arising from the resources and capacities theory, RBV (Penrose, 1959).

Although these studies may seem different, all of them have some points in common, they all analyze strategic positioning in different intermediate stages between the more reactive and the more proactive points of view (Alvarez et al., 2001). The factors that determine a proactive environmental orientation of a firm have been classified as internal aspects (size, level of internationalization, position in the value chain, management attitude and motivations and strategic attitude of the company) or external aspects (industry and geographic location). Also, the pressure of the shareholders/owners, has been detected as a crucial factor (González-Benito and González Benito, 2006). In the same line, Murillo-Luna et al. (2007), classified the factors in external (legislation, customers, suppliers, companies, entities, financial, insurance, media, environmentalists and/or citizens or upcoming communities) and internal (management, shareholders and/or employees).

Some studies have applied the theories developed so far to identify what factors affect the environmental orientation that companies adopt. In the retail industry, the external pressure, the environmental orientation, the corporate and marketing strategies and the presence of a marketing department have been identified to have an effect on the adoption of a specific environmental strategy (Buil et al., 2008). González Benito and González Benito (2005) identified various strategies of proactive environmental orientation in three manufacturing industries (electric, chemical and furniture), noting the multidisciplinary nature of the proactive environmental orientation.

Although the work carried out to date has a great quality and specialization (Pereira-Moliner et al., 2010), there are still not enough data to help identify what aspects of proactive environmental orientation are influencing business competitiveness. It is increasing the necessity for companies to integrate into their management style, as a strategic factor, the differentiation strategy through sustainability, although there is still not enough scientific basis to measure it. Hence, the objective of this study is to validate a measurement tool that can help to do it.

3. METHODOLOGY

In this work, we considered the industrial companies in the Valencian Community (Spain) with less than 250 employees, SMEs (see table 2). To validate the questionnaire that measures the proactive environmental orientation (Segarra et al., 2012), it was distributed between January and May 2010 to 243 industrial companies located in the indicated area. 96 of the selected companies completed the questionaire. The information collected includes an assessment of the leaders/managers of companies surveyed on the introduction of environmental measures and on the integration of a proactive environmental orientation in business strategy.

Prior to its final dissemination, the questionnaire was submitted to a pre-test group to check and discuss the adequacy of the questions. The pilot interview was conducted in 5 companies, 2 of them in the agri-food industry, both very advanced in environmental aspects, other 2 in the recycling/collection of waste industry and, the last one, in a technological Institute, AINIA, which has its own Department of environmental management. We wanted to gather information at a business management level and from industrial sustainability experts.

Table 2. Sample firms characteristics

Tuble 21 bumple minib characteristics		
Number of employees		
Less than 50 employees	20%	
Between 50 and 249 employees	47.4%	
More than 250 employees	32.6%	
Business type		
Local business	21.3%	
National business	40.4%	
International business	18%	
Multinational business	20.2%	
Employees with higher education degree	35.24 ± 192.79	
% of firms with Environmental Management department	53.2%	

In order to sort companies into groups, called clusters or conglomerates, we applied the "cluster analysis technique", considering the proactive environmental orintation level and the implementation level of environmental practices as main variables. Therefore, the degree of similarity between members of the same cluster is stronger than the degree of similarity between members of different clusters (Hair et al., 1998, Pedhazur, 1997, Peng et al., 2002).

4. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Hierarchical cluster analysis using Ward method determined the existence of three clearly differentiated conglomerates as reflected in table 3. 8 companies were dismissed, 4 of them due to a lack of important information to be included in the analysis and the rest because didn't fit in any of the 3 clusters.

Table 3. Cluster analysis description

Clusters	Number of companies
Low environmental proactivity	15
High environmental proactivity	33
Medium environmental proactivity	48

The first cluster encompasses companies with low environmental proactivity. This cluster consists of the set of companies that do not identify the environment as an opportunity to innovate, sparsely applied environmental preventive and management systems, consider that the environmental concern poses a threat to the business and find it difficult to take actions. Such companies are still in a reactive attitude with the environment.

The second cluster matched companies with high environmental proactivity. This cluster is composed of companies that identify the environment as an opportunity to innovate, apply environmental preventive and management systems, always react and make decisions to accomplish with the regulations, totally disagree with belive that environmental concern is a threat to the business and take actions easily.

The third of the clusters encompasses companies with medium environmental proactivity. That is, they go further than established regulations, consider important to carry out environmental practices, but as a result of different reasons have not reached a high level of proactivity.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this work a proactive environmental analysis tool has been used (Segarra et al., 2012) to classify a sample of industrial companies based on its proactive orientation toward environment. We have identified three groups of companies clearly differentiated, composed, respectively, by companies that adopt a proactive attitude towards environmental practices, which are not limited by regulations, but also identified the environmental management as an opportunity to innovate and achieve a competitive advantage; companies that follow a reactive position by only adopting the changes required by existing environmental regulations, and a third, halfway between the previous ones, a group with a medium level of proactivity.

The methodology applied in this study is shown to be of interest and useful as it enables to classify the companies studied according to their environmental proactivity and to objectively measure the importance of the actions taken by the company. The analysis of the environmental factor as a proactive aspect of a company management strategy is a complex phenomenon involving a number of determinants.

Future studies will consider extending the study to other manufacturing industries for the purpose of drawing up a Spanish map of environmental proactivity, and will replicate the analysis in studies including other neighbor countries.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Spanish Economy and Competitiveness Ministry for its support support through the research project (EC02011-27369), and the Universitat Politècnica de València for its research funding to the project (PAID-06-2011-1879), "Innovative practices' on environmental firms "performance". Identifying moderating effects". Also the UPV Research Micro-cluster "Globalization, tourism and heritage: towards a sustainable development".

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Angel Peiró-Signes, Ph.D. in Business Administration and Degree in Industrial Engineering. Assistant Professor in Analytical Accounting in Manufacturing firms and Strategic Management at the Universidad Politécnica de Valencia (Spain). Research Interest: Eco-innovation and economic performance of companies, applied to industrial and service sectors. E-mail: anpeisig@omp.upv.es

Maria-del-Val Segarra-Oña, Ph.D. in Management and Degree in Industrial Engineering. Associate Professor in Innovation and Competitiveness at the School of Industrial Engineering at the Universidad Politécnica, Valencia (Spain). Research Interest: Environmental proactivity and eco-innovation. E-mail: maseo@omp.upv.es (Corresponding author)

María de Miguel, Ph.D. Management and Business Administration (Universitat Politècnica de València), Msc. Law (Universitat de València), Associate Professor at Faculty of Management and Business Administration (Universitat Politècnica de València), Director of the MBA GEPS (International Product and Service Management). E-mail: mademi@omp.upv.es

Blanca de Miguel, Ph.D. Management and Business Administration (Universitat Politècnica de València), MBA (Ruskin University UK and Ford Company), Msc. Economics (Universitat de València), Associate Professor at Industrial Engineering School (Universitat Politècnica de València). E-mail: bdemigu@omp.upv.es

REFERENCES

- 1. Álvarez Gil, M.J., De Burgos Jiménez, J. and Céspedes Lorente, J.J. (2001): "Un análisis exploratorio de las estrategias medioambientales y el contexto organizativo de los hoteles españoles" (In Spanish), *Cuadernos de Economía y Dirección de la Empresa*, 8, 5-32.
- 2. Aragón-Correa, J.A. (1998): "Strategic proactivity and firm approach to the natural environment", *Academy of Management Journal*, 41, 5, 556-567.
- 3. Azzone, G. and Bertele, U. (1994), "Exploiting Green Strategies for Competitive Advantage", *Long Range Planning*, 27, 6, 69-81.
- 4. Banerjee, S.B. (2002): "Corporate environmentalism. The construct and its measurement', *Journal of Business Research*. 55, 177-191.
- 5. Barba Sánchez, V., Atienza Sahuquillo, C. (2008): "La adopción de una estrategia ecológica en la empresa: aplicación de la teoría de recursos y capacidades, capacidades y dinámicas y responsabilidad social corporative (In Spanish), *Revista de economía, sociedad, turismo y medio ambiente: RESTMA*, (7), 31-53.
- 6. Bravo Gil, R., Fraj Andrés, E., Martínez Salinas, E. (2007): "The Relevance Of The Environmental Factor In Corporate Strategies: An Application To The Consumer Goods Industry", *Journal of Applied Business Research*, 23, 1, 79-94.
- 7. Del Brío, J. A., Junquera, B. (2003): "A review of the literature on environmental innovation management in SMEs: implications for public policies", *Technovation*, 23, 12, 939-948.
- 8. Buil-Carrasco, I., Fraj-Andrés, E. and Matute-Vallejo, J. (2008): "Corporate environmentalism strategy in the Spanish consumer product sector: a typology of firms", *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 17 (6), 350–368.
- 9. Carroll, A.B. (1999): "Corporate Social Responsibility. Evolution of a Definitional Construct", *Business Society*, 38, 3, 268-295.
- 10. European Communities, (2007): "Measuring progress towards a more sustainable Europe", *Monitoring report of the EU sustainable development strategy*. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg.
- 11. Ferrari, G., Mondéjar-Jiménez, J., Vargas-Vargas, M. (2010): "Environmental sustainable management of small rural tourist enterprises", *International Journal of Environmental Research*, 4, 3, 407-414.
- 12. Fraj, E., Martínez, E., Matute, J. (2011): "Green marketing strategy and the firm's performance: the moderating role of environmental culture", *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 19, 4, 339-355.
- 13. Fundación Entorno, (2003): "Entorno 2003. Informa sobre la gestión ambiental en la empresa española. Avances hacia la sostenibilidad", *Fundación Entorno*, Madrid.
- 14. Gadenne, D. L., Kennedy, J. and Mckeiver, C. (2009): "An empirical study of environmental awareness and practices in SMEs", *Journal of Business Ethics*, 84, 45-63.
- 15. Gázquez-Abad, J.C., Jiménez-Guerrero, J.F., Mondéjar-Jiménez, J. A. and Cordente-Rodríguez, M. (2011): "How companies integrate environmental issues into their marketing strategies", *Environmental Engineering and Management Journal*, 10, 12, 1809-1820.
- 16. González-Benito, J., González-Benito, O. (2005): "Environmental proactivity and business performance: an empirical analysis", *Omega*, 33, 1, 1-15.
- 17. González Benito, J. and González Benito, O. (2006): "A review of determinant factors of environmental proactivity", *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 15(2), 87-102.
- 18. Hair, J.F., Anderson, R.E., Tatham R.L., and Black, W.C. (1998): "*Multivariate Date Analysis*", 5th ed., Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ. (1998).
- 19. Henriques, I. and Sadorsky, P. (1999): "The relationship between environmental commitment and managerial perceptions of stakeholder importance", *Academy of Management Journal*, 42, 1, 87-99.
- 20. Horbach, J. (2008): "Determinants of environmental innovation: new evidence from German panel data sources", *Research Policy*, 37, 163-173.
- 21. Hunt, C., and Auster, E. (1990): "Proactive environmental management: Avoiding the toxic trap", *Sloan Management Review*, 31, 7-18.
- 22. Martín Guzmán, M.P. (1988): "Métodos estadísticos en el análisis regional", *Revista de Estudios Regionales*, 22, 149-170.
- 23. Murillo-Luna, J., Garcés-Ayerbe, C., Rivera-Torres, P. (2007): "What Prevents Firms from Advancing in their Environmental Strategy?", *International Advances in Economic Research*, 13, 1, 35-46.

- 24. Pedhazur, E. J., (1997): "Multiple regression in behavioral research, 3rd Ed., Orlando, FL: Harcourt Brace.
- 25. Peng, C.J., Lee K.L. and Ingersoll G.M., (2002): "An introduction to logistic regression analysis and reporting", *Journal of Educational Research*, 96, 3-14.
- 26. Penrose. E.T. (1959): *The theory of the growth of the firm*. New York: John Wiley.
- 27. Pereira-Moliner, J., Claver-Cortés, E., Molina-Azorín, J. F. (2010): "Strategy and Performance in the Spanish Hotel Industry", *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly*, 51, 4, 513-528.
- 28. Phillis, Y. A., Kouikoglou, V. S., Andriantiatsaholiniaina, L. A. (2003): "Sustainable development: a definition and assessment", *Environmental Engineering and Management Journal*, 2, 4, 345-355.
- 29. Roome, N. (1994): "Business strategy, R&D management and environmental imperatives", *R&D Management* 24, 1, 65-82.
- 30. Sadgrove, K. (1993): *The green manager's handbook*. Aldershot, England: Gower.
- 31. Segarra Oña, M., Peiró Signes, Á., Miret Pastor, L., Albors Garrigós, J. (2011): "Eco-innovación, una evolución de la innovación? Análisis empírico en la industria cerámica española" (In Spanish), *Boletín de la Sociedad Española de Cerámica y Vidrio*, 50 (5), 253-260.
- 32. Segarra-Oña, M., Merello-Giménez, P., Segura-Maroto, M., Maroto-Alvarez, Peiro-Signes, A. (2012), Proactividad medioambiental en la empresa: clasificación empírica y determinación de aspectos clave. *Tec Empresarial*, 6(1), .
- 33. Schaefer, A. and Harvey, B. (1998): "Stage models of corporate 'greening': a critical evaluation", *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 7, 3, 109-123.
- 34. Schwarz, N. and Ernst, A., (2009): "Agent-based modeling of the diffusion of environmental innovations. An empirical approach", *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 76, 4, 497-511.
- 35. Vargas-Vargas, M., Meseguer-Santamaría, M.L., Mondéjar-Jiménez, J. and Mondéjar-Jiménez, J. A. (2010), "Environmental protection expenditure for companies: A Spanish regional analysis", *International Journal of Environmental Research*, 4, 3, 373-378.
- Vastag, G., Kerekes, S. and Rondinelli, D.A. (1996): "Evaluation of corporate environmental management approaches: A framework and application", *International Journal of Production Economics*, 43, 2-3, 193-211.
- 37. Verbeke, A., Bowen, F. and Sellers, M., (2006): "Corporate environmental strategy: extending the natural resource-based view of the firm", *Academy of Management Best Paper*.
- 38. Winsemius, P. and Guntram, U. (1992): "Responding to the environmental challenge", *Business Horizons* 35, 2, 12-20.