JOURNAL OF ASTRONOMY & EARTH SCIENCES EDUCATION

The Journal of Astronomy & Earth Sciences Education (JAESE) publishes refereed papers that significantly contribute to the scholarly understanding of cutting edge issues across science education. Using a wide range of systematic education research methods including statistical analysis, qualitative inquiry, analytical work, case studies, field research and historical analysis, articles examine significant science education research questions from a broad range of perspectives.

JAESE is an internationally cited, open access journal that is essential reading for academic education researchers and education professionals. Articles may include but are not limited to any contemporary, cutting edge issue describing systematic education research and teaching innovations across the broadly defined Earth & space sciences education, including the disciplines of astronomy, climatology, energy resource science, environmental science, geology, meteorology, planetary sciences, and oceanography.

Current information for submitting a manuscript is available on our website at www.CluteInstitute.com.

Disclaimer of Liability: No responsibility is assumed by the publisher for injury and/or damages to persons or property as a result of implementing any ideas contained in the material published in the *Journal of Astronomy & Earth Sciences Education*. The ideas and theories contained in this publication are those of the authors only.

Copyright: As a condition of publication, the authors must grant The Clute Institute the right to disseminate their manuscript to the widest possible readership in print and electronic format. Authors must also agree to our open access policy.

Open Access Policy: As a condition of publication, the authors must grant The Clute Institute the right to disseminate their manuscript to the widest possible readership in print and electronic format. Authors must also agree to our open access policy, which is to provide immediate open access to our journals on the principle that making research freely available to the public supports a greater global exchange of knowledge. Users are allowed to read, download, copy, distribute, remix, tweak, build upon, print, search, or link the full text of the articles in this journal provided that appropriate credit is given.

Double Blind Peer Reviewed: The Clute Institute, our editors, and members of all editorial teams are committed to objective and fair double-blind peer reviews of submitted manuscripts for journal publication and will evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy.

For more information about our Code of Publication Ethics, our Plagiarism Policy, our Open Access Policy, and for manuscript guidelines, visit our website at www.CluteInstitute.com.

2017 JAESE Editorial Board

As we begin the fourth year, we would like to take this opportunity to publically recognize the volunteering scholars of the JAESE *Editorial Advisory Board* and the JAESE *Board of Peer Reviewers* for their support and efforts during 2017. Without these two groups of dedicated individuals, JAESE would not be the high quality journal that it is today.

Editorial Advisory Board

Akihiko Tomita, Wakayama University, Japan

Andrew Fraknoi, Foothill College, USA

Anthony Lelliott, University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa

C. Aaron Price, Chicago Museum of Science and Industry, USA

David McKinnon, Edith Cowan University, Australia

Doug Lombardi, Temple University

J. Chris Haynes, University of Wyoming, USA

J. Richard Pomeroy, University of California-Davis, USA

Jadwiga Yaga Richter, University Corporation for Atmospheric Research, USA

Jayashree Ramadas, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, India

Jill K. Singer, Buffalo State University, USA

Judith S. Lederman, Illinois institute of Technology, USA

Kaatje van der Hoeven Kraft, Whatcom Community College, USA

Kim Kastens, Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, USA

Lou Mayo, Goddard Space Flight Center, USA

Meredith L. McAllister, Butler University, USA

Michael Brotherton, University of Wyoming, USA

Michael Fitzgerald, Macquarie University, Australia

Nicoletta Lanciano. Sapienza University of Rome. Italy

Norman G. Lederman, Illinois Institute of Technology, USA

Paulo S. Bretones, Federal University of São Carlos, Brazil

Richard Gelderman, Western Kentucky University, USA

Robert Hollow, CSIRO, Australia

Sanlyn R. Buxner, Univ. of Arizona & Planetary Science Institute, USA

Sharon P. Schleigh, East Carolina University, USA

Stephanie J. Slater, CAPER Ctr for Astro & Phys Educ Research, USA

Tom Foster, University of Southern Illinois, USA

William H Waller, Rockport (MA) Public Schools & The Galactic Inquirer, USA

Board of Peer Reviewers

Ajin R S, Geomatics Division, GeoVin Solutions Pvt. Ltd, India

Andrea Urban, Sapling Learning, USA

Bram Boroson, Clayton State University, USA

C. Renee James Sam Houston State University, USA

Christopher Palma, Penn State University, USA

Christopher (Chris) Sirola, University of Southern Mississippi, USA

Cinzia Cervato, Iowa State University, USA

David Gosselin, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, USA

Doug Lombardi, Temple University, USA

Elizabeth Lewis, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, USA

Faruk SOydugan, Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Turkey

Georgia Bracey, Southern Illinois University Edwardsville, USA

Jacob Noel-Storr, InsightSTEM, USA

Jacqueline Dunn, Midwestern State University, USA

Jennifer Harris Forrester, University of Wyoming, USA
Julia Plummer, Pennsylvania State University, USA
Kaylan Brae Petrie, Washington State University, USA
Kendra Sibbernsen, Metropolitan Community College, USA
Kenneth C. Brandt, University of North Carolina Pembroke, USA
Kim Kastens, Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, USA
Kristen Thompson, Davidson College, USA
Lauren Woolsey, Harvard University, USA
Leilani Arthurs, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, USA
Lena Danaia, Charles Sturt University, Australia
Louis Rubbo, Coastal Carolina University, USA
Nicolle Zellner, Albion College, USA
Sarah Katie Guffey, University of Wyoming, USA
Süleyman Aydin, Agri Ibrahim Çeçen University, Turkey

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. The Design Of Preservice Primary Teacher Education Science Subjects: The Emergence Of An Interactive Educational Design Model by David H. McKinnon (Edith Cowan University, Australia), Lena Danaia (Charles Sturt University, Australia), and James Deehan (Charles Sturt University, Australia).
- 25. Development Of The EGGS Exam Of GeoloGy Standards To Measure Students' Understanding Of Common Geology Concepts by Sarah Katie Guffey (University of Wyoming, USA), Timothy F. Slater (University of Wyoming, USA), and Stephanie J. Slater (CAPER Center for Astronomy & Physics Education Research, USA).
- 63. Have Astronauts Visited Neptune? Student Ideas About How Scientists Study The Solar System by Christopher Palma (Pennsylvania State University, USA), Julia Plummer (Pennsylvania State University, USA), KeriAnn Rubin (St. Ann School, USA), Alice Flarend (Bellwood-Antis School District, USA), Yann Shiou Ong (Pennsylvania State University, USA), Scott McDonald (Pennsylvania State University, USA), Chrysta Ghent (Pennsylvania State University, USA), Timothy Gleason (Pennsylvania State University, USA), and Tanya Furman (Pennsylvania State University, USA).

EDITOR'S NOTE: ADVICE TO A NEW JOURNAL EDITOR

ABSTRACT

On April 14, 2017, the scholarly discipline-based education research community lost all-too-soon one of its innovative thought leaders, Ronald C. Clute (1944-2017). After a successful career in traditional University academia, Dr. Clute (Ph.D. Notre Dame) became one of the first highly successful alternative academics, alt-ac, by starting and nurturing the Clute Institute as a family-run, academic publishing company that today serves as a national model for helping scholars distribute their work internationally. Always generous with advice, some of the most important ideas he shared with new editors were to: (i) Invest in authors with great ideas, even when the writing is lousy; (ii) If a submission isn't a great idea, don't bother already too-busy reviewers with it; (iii) Plan on revising authors always being slower at responding than reviewers; (iv) Allocate more time to growing and nurturing your reviewer pool than you think; (v) Increase submissions by being visible at meetings and talking to presenters and (iv) run a formative review process that makes papers better rather than a summative rejection service. These ideas are offered in respect for his longstanding work.

n April 14, 2017, the scholarly discipline-based education research community lost all-too-soon one of its innovative thought leaders, Ronald C. Clute (1944-2017). After a successful career in traditional University academia, Dr. Clute (Ph.D. Notre Dame) became one of the first highly successful alternative academics, alt-ac, by starting and nurturing an academic publishing company that today serves as a national model for helping scholars distribute their work internationally.

Dr. Clute was an enthusiastic supporter and tireless mentor to the entire Editorial Advisory Board for initiating and growing the Journal of Astronomy & Earth Sciences Education (JAESE.org), which is published and archived by the Clute Institute. In his efforts helping to launch JAESE on a successful trajectory, he generously offered hard-earned insight that can serve as important messages for anyone starting to edit a journal. In respect for his long standing words of advice, we freely offer some of his ideas here, in far less eloquent wording than he himself would have written, if he was able to still be with us.

"Invest in authors with great ideas, even when the writing is lousy." Too often, insightful and fruitful ideas are lost to the broader community because thought leaders can be terrible writers—if they bother to write things down at all! This means, when someone has a great idea, find a way to get their work publishable, even if that means finding them a co-author.

"Don't spend too much time rewriting and reformatting poorly submitted work." Rarely ever will a manuscript be submitted that has perfect grammar, active voice, appropriate blinding, no misspellings, and correctly done citations and references. Do not let these mechanical errors be your judge of a work's quality or importance; at the same time, do not spend your own time fixing those issues. Be sure authors themselves do the citation formatting by telling them how to fix it rather than fix it yourself.

"If a submission isn't a great idea, don't bother already too-busy reviewers with it." The best reviewers are consistently people who are already busy. The old un-attributable adage of "if you want something done, find a really busy person to do it" rings consistently true. If you want to keep your recruited community of reviewers working for you, recognize that they are busy and only send them things worth taking time to enhance and most appropriate for your readers.

"Plan on revising authors always being slower at responding than reviewers." One would think that the review process is usually hung up when reviewers are slow. Surprisingly more often, it is the authors who receive an "accept with revisions" that slow down the submission-to-print time schedule, so plan accordingly.

"Allocate more time to growing and nurturing your reviewer pool than you think." A journal's Board of Peer Reviewers is its most important asset. For one, these reviewers are the gatekeepers to be sure that the journal only publishes high quality work, which then goes on to serve as a model (and perhaps a filter) for future submissions. For another, the Board of Reviewers are often a journal's best advertiser, suggesting it to colleagues as an important place to submit one's best scholarly work. Take the time to nurture and recruit the best people for this heartbeat role in a journal's life.

"Increase submissions by being visible at meetings and talking to presenters." It is a mistake to think everyone knows about your journal. As Editor, your job is to tirelessly be on the lookout for potential authors. One of the best strategies is to be visible at professional conferences and aggressively invite scholars with promising work to share their research in your journal. Always have a stack of business cards to distribute, and always be prepared to personally follow-up with busy professors multiple times as great articles are sometimes years in the making. Most importantly, people are more apt to submit their work to criticism by an editor they like and respect rather than someone they do not know and fear.

"Run a formative review process that makes papers better rather than a summative rejection service." Far, far too many journals simply take in articles, run them through the several months long turn-the-crank review process, only to reject articles with precious little dignity nor constructive feedback. Instead, remember that authors probably worked late into the night or over the weekend, perhaps even missing their children's soccer games, or were mentally absent during a family meal, in order to get a manuscript to your journal. In other words, a manuscript often represents sacrifice, and the work and the author should be treated with the upmost respect. A great experience for the individual is more important than a journal's rejection rate.

This last important idea—handle review as a constructive and formative improvement process—is perhaps the most important. Ron Clute was endlessly passionate about helping people, and we at JAESE encourage anyone who is considering becoming a first-time journal editor to remember that publishing is ultimately a human endeavor worthy of dignity, respect, and one's uninterrupted attention.

Timothy F. Slater, Ph.D. *Editor-in-Chief*