Scale Development For Breakfast Cereals Using The Kelly Repertory Grid Technique

Michael Pepe, Siena College, USA

ABSTRACT

Consumer research is a key component of retail strategy and a major facilitator in the formation of a competitive advantage (Devlin, Birtwistle & Macedo, 2003). A sophisticated research approach that contributes a meaningful understanding of the dynamics of consumer's perceptual orientations is of particular value to retailers (Mitchell, 2001). Gaining knowledge of the attributes consumers value and use to discriminate between products and why those attributes are important can generate a sustainable competitive advantage for retailers (Mitchell & Harris, 2005). Consumer perceptions of product attributes are crucial factors in the choice of food products. A focus on the subjective entities of a product as perceived by consumers is a major determining factor in the success of many product marketing strategies (Kupiec & Revell, 2001).

One method that has been utilized to identify product attributes is the Kelly repertory grid method (Kelly, 1955). This method has also been used in previous studies for the recognition of food product attributes (Thomson & McEwan, 1988). The repertory grid technique (RGT) has been adopted in consumer research for examining consumers' perceptions of products and services (Marsden & Littler, 2000).

The following research project intends to help retailers understand grocery shoppers' perceptions of breakfast cereals. The objective of the research is two-fold. First, to determine which attributes consumers perceive differences between breakfast cereals. Second, to generate a survey to measure the underlying constructs comprising breakfast cereals using the Kelly Repertory Grid Technique

Keywords: Kelly Repertory Grid; Repertory Grid Technique; (RGT)

PROBLEM STATEMENT

etailers need to determine the important attributes consumers' value in the products they sell.

Understanding consumer behavior and identifying important product attributes can help retailers influence merchandise selection. For this research, the identification of important attributes that consumers value for breakfast cereal will be determined.

The research plan involved two major steps:

- (1) The identification of breakfast cereal attributes by conducting interviews with 5 consumers.
- (2) The development of a survey using the Kelly Repertory Grid to measure breakfast cereal attributes.

METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK

The repertory grid technique was developed by Kelly as part of his Personal Construct Theory (1957) and proposed to analyze how people look at and evaluate the world around them (Rocchi & Stefani, 2005). The repertory grid technique (RGT) was developed to elicit the various constructs that people use to describe the "elements" (i.e. objects) the researcher is interested in (Fransella, Bell & Bannister, 2003).

RGT chooses a set of elements which are consistent with the objectives of the study and targeted constructs (i.e. product) to be elicited from research participants (Stewart & Stewart, 1981). RGT methodology utilizes personal interviews with consumers to elicit the content and hierarchical structure of the subjective meanings in the form of bipolar constructs that are attached to a set of elements (Dalton & Dunnett, 1992).

METHODOLOGY

The preliminary process of identifying breakfast cereal attributes was based on five in-depth interviews with breakfast cereal consumers. Individual interviews to identify which breakfast cereal attributes (or constructs) consumers use to differentiate products took place at each participant's work place during their lunch hour. The researcher did not know any of the participants (interviewees) as the individuals were selected by friends of the researcher. Interviewees were pre-screened to determine if they were familiar with a variety of breakfast cereals as per instructions from the researcher.

Each interviewee was asked to list15 breakfast cereals with which they are familiar. From this list of familiar products, three breakfast cereals at a time were randomly selected and presented to the interviewee on an index card. The interviewees were asked to consider the characteristics or attributes of the breakfast cereals and to indicate what two cereal products they thought were similar. A participant would then indicate common features for the chosen pair of similar breakfast cereals and the differences between these two and the remaining breakfast cereal. In this way, each attribute and its two poles were identified, the first being defined as an emergent pole (similarity between the two) and the second one being an implicit pole (difference between the two and the third item) (Kupiec & Revel, 2001). The procedure was repeated five times for each of the five participants. No breakfast cereal was presented more than once for evaluation by the interviewee. A copy of each interview can be found in Appendix A.

CONTENT ANALYSIS

The transcript of each interview is provided in Appendix A. From these transcripts, a qualitative analysis of the answers was performed.

The triadic sorting process identified five groups of product attributes related to:

- (1) taste
- (2) packaging
- (3) texture
- (4) ingredients (health)
- (5) consumer segments-kids or adults

(1) Taste

Regarding taste, some of the responses provided were:

```
"more exciting/more flavorful"
"plain- not much flavor"
"flavorful"
"plain/need to add fruit or sugar"
"taste comparable"
"different taste from other 2"
"they both taste good"
"don't like"
"good taste-lots sugar"
"healthy-bland taste"
```

(2) Packaging

```
Regarding packaging, some of the responses provided were:

"normal box size"

"box always seems huge"

"fun boxes-exciting graphics"

"pretty plain box"

"busy package"

"plain package"

"packaging similar"

"packaging-green fields and berries"

"more adult looking package"

"packaging geared toward children"

"don't like packaging"

"nice cartoon characters"
```

(3) Texture

```
Regarding texture, some of the responses provided were:
```

```
"crunchy"
"not as crunchy as brands"
"grainy texture"
"smooth texture"
"texture"
"not much texture"
"good & crunchy"
"too hard"
"not too chewy"
"very crunchy"
```

(4) Ingredients (health)

```
Regarding ingredients(health), some of the responses provided were:
```

```
"lots of raisins"
"not as much raisins as brands"
"higher calories & sugars but worth it"
"healthier-less sugar & calories"
"sugar cereals (kid's target)"
"healthier kid cereal"
"sugar,sugar,sugar"
"sweet, but healthy"
"healthy"
not healthy"
```

(5) Consumer segments-kids or adults

Regarding consumer segments, some of the responses provided were:

```
"don't like but kids do"
"more of a cereal for the whole family"
"adult targets"
"kid's target"
"kids"
"adults"
```

"whole family likes"
"only adult cereal"
"kids like taste"
"kids won't eat-taste'
"kid's cereal"
"adult cereal"

RGT SURVEY

In order to measure consumer perceptions of each of the underlying constructs of breakfast cereal from the RGT, a survey was developed and is presented in Appendix B. Prior to sending out the survey to the targeted sample, it will be pre-tested.

CONCLUSIONS

The_results of this study indicate the importance of attributes such as taste, packaging, texture, ingredients (health) and consumer segments for breakfast cereals. Manufacturers and retailers of national and private brands need to be aware of the importance of these five attributes and gather feedback from consumers regarding the evaluation of each attribute for each breakfast cereal.

Manufacturers and retailers need to be cognizant of the demographic profile of the targeted segment for each brand of cereal as kids and adults have different preferences. Most breakfast cereals are categorized by retailers as either kid, adult or all family. The package selection and design, texture, flavor profile and ingredients must be consistent with the preferences of the targeted consumer segment.

Future research should have participants rank each of the five attributes to determine the order of importance. It would be interesting to find out the consistency of responses among the various participants and if the importance of each attribute varies by target segment. Future research should also determine the primary decision maker for kid's cereal as parents and children will have different preferences.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Michael Pepe is an Assistant Professor of Marketing at Siena College in Loudonville, New York. After 19 years as a business professional in the retailing industry, he became a full-time faculty member at Siena in 2009. His research interests include branding, consumer behavior, and pricing strategies. He has presented papers at the College Learning and teaching Conference, Applied Business and research Conference and Marketing Management Association Conference. Michael has published articles in the following academic journals: *Journal of Business and Economics Research, Journal of Product and Brand Management*, and *Journal of Marketing Management*. E-mail: mpepe@siena.edu

REFERENCES

- 1. Dalton, P. & Dunnett, G. (1992). A Psychology for Living. John Wiley & Sons: Chicester.
- 2. Devlin, D., Birtwistle, G, & Macedo, N. (2003). Food retail positioning strategy: a means-end chain analysis. *British Food Journal*, *105*, 653-670.
- 3. Fransella, F., Bell, R. & Bannister, D. (2003). *A Manual for Repertory Grid Technique*. John Wiley and Sons: Chicester.
- 4. Kelly, G.A. (1955). *The Psychology of Personal Constructs*. New York, New York: Norton.
- 5. Kupiec, B. & Revell, B. (2001). Measuring consumer quality judgments. *British Food Journal*, 103, 7-16.
- 6. Marsden, D. & Littler, D. (2000). Exploring consumer product construct systems with the repertory grid technique. *Qualitative Market Research*, *3*, 127-142.
- 7. Mitchell, V. & Harris, G. (2005). The importance of consumers' perceived risk in retail strategy. *European Journal of Marketing*, 39, 821-837.

- 8. Mitchell, V.W. (2001). Re-conceptualising consumer store image processing under perceived risk. Journal of Business Research, 54, 167-172.
- Rocchi, B. & Stefani, G. (2005). Consumers' perception of wine packaging: a case study. *International* 9. Journal of Wine Marketing, 18, 33-44.
- Stewart, V. & Stewart, A. (1981). Business Applications of Repertory Grid. McGraw-Hill: London. 10.

APPENDIX A

Transcript for each interview:

Researcher: Hi, I'm Mike Pepe. Thank You for participating in this research. The reason that I came to your work place today is to assess your perceptions of various breakfast cereals. The research process will not last more than 45 minutes.

Researcher: The first question that I have for you is "Do you regularly purchase or consume a variety of breakfast cereals?"

Responses: interview #1: yes

interview #2: yes I do interview #3: yup interview #4: yes

interview #5:yes, I eat a variety

Researcher: "Please list on a sheet of paper 15 breakfast cereals that you are familiar with and can evaluate". Each participate listed their 15 cereals on a sheet of paper they had at their desk. In hindsight, I wish I provided each with paper and a pencil to record their thoughts.

Responses: interview #1: I can list more than 15

Researcher: list the first 15 that come to mind

interview #2: do I have to like them?

Researcher: no, just need to be familiar with them

interview #3: respondent wrote the 15 without questions

interview #4: respondent wrote the 15 without questions

interview #5: do I wrote the 15 or do you need it typed?

Researcher: writing on a sheet of paper is fine

Researcher: "Thank you for the list of 15 cereal items. I am going to take a few moments and randomly group this list in sets of 3 cereal items. This will result in 5 groups of 3 cereals. I will write each group on an index card and ask for your thoughts."

Researcher: "From this list of 3 cereal items, how are 2 of them alike but different from the third? Please write this on your sheet of paper and state why the 2 are alike but different from the third. Express this in a bi-polar or opposite manner. For example, if I presented to you three colors: yellow, pink & black, you may say that the colors yellow & pink are similar because they are bright colors and they differ from black because it is a dark color. Secondly, you may say that yellow & pink are alike because they remind you of Easter and they are different from black because black reminds you of Halloween. Try your best to think of as many bi-polar comparisons as possible. At least three will be good with more better. Do you have any questions regarding what I am asking?" To my surprise all five participants were clear on the directions and none needed further clarification.

The process was repeated 5 times so all 15 breakfast cereal items from the participants list were evaluated. This resulted in 5 triads for each participant.

Researcher: Thank you for your time in participating in this study.

Interview #1

15 cereals identified by participant:

Kellogg's Raisin Bran Post Honey Bunches of Oats w/almonds

Post Raisin Bran Lucky Charms

Private label Raisin Bran Frosted Mini Wheats

Kellogg's Corn Flakes Froot Loops
Private label Corn Flakes Grape Nuts
Kellogg's Rice Krispies Coco Krispies

Private label Crispy Rice Life

Golden Grahams

<u>Card #1</u>-Life, Post Honey Bunches of Oats w/almonds, Kellogg's Corn Flakes

<u>Similar</u> <u>Different</u> **Life & Post Honey Bunches of Oats w/almonds** Kellogg's Corn Flakes

-more exciting/more flavorful -plain-not much flavor -normal box size -box always seems huge

-can eat right out of box -not flavorful to eat plain-need to add something

-Higher calories & sugars but worth it -healthier-less sugar & calories

<u>Card #2</u>-Private label Corn Flakes, Grape Nuts, Private label Crispy Rice <u>Similar</u> <u>Different</u>

Private label Corn Flakes & Grape Nuts Private label Crispy Rice

-target:older -younger target -healthy/specific benefit -fun to eat

<u>Card #3</u>-Golden Grahams, Froot Loops & Kellogg's Rice Krispies

<u>Similar</u>

<u>Different</u>

Golden Grahams & Froot Loops Kellogg's Rice Krispies

-sugar cereals (kids target) -healthier kid cereal

-flavorful -plain/need to add fruit or sugar

-fun boxes-exciting graphics -pretty plain box(aside from snap.crackle, & pop

-Froot Loops has a bird (don't know name) -use of icons who are identofiable

no icon for Golden Grahams

Card #4-Lucky Charms, Coco Krispies & Frosted Mini Wheats

<u>Similar</u> <u>Different</u> **Lucky Charms & Coco Krispies** Frosted Mini Wheats

-kid target -older target -sugar,sugar -sweet, but healthy -busy package -plain package

-use of icons on package -no icon

Card #5-Kellogg's Raisin Bran, Post Raisin Bran & Private Label Raisin Bran

<u>Similar</u> <u>Different</u>

Kellogg's Raisin Bran & Post Raisin Bran

Private Label Raisin Bran

Private Label Raisin Bran

Private Label Raisin Bran

-packaging similar-purple &heart/sun -packaging-green fields and berries -taste comparable -different taste from other 2 -crunchy -not as crunchy as brands

-lots of raisins -not as much raisins as brands

Interview #2

15 cereals identified by participant:

Total Frosted Mini Wheats
Honey Bunches of Oats Honey Nut Cheerios
Cinnamon Toast Crunch Frosted Flakes
Fruity Pebbles Grape Nuts
Lucky Charms Trix

Corn Chex Captain Crunch

Banana Nut Crunch Cheerios

Special K

<u>Card #1</u>-Total, Honey Bunches of Oats & Cinnamon Toast Crunch

<u>Similar</u>

<u>Different</u>

Total & Honey Bunches of Oats Crunch

-they both taste good -don't like

-more adult looking packaging -packaging geared toward children

Card #2-Fruity Pebbles, Lucky Charms, & Corn Chex

Similar Different
Fruity Pebbles & Lucky Charms Corn Chex

-what the kids like -what they won't eat

Card #3-Banana Nut Crunch, Special K & Frosted Mini Wheats

Similar Different
Banana Nut Crunch & Special K Frosted Mini Wheats

-adult looking packaging -could be more of a family cereal

-everyone in the house eats it

Card #4-Honey Nut Cheerios, Frosted Flakes & Grape Nuts

Similar Different

Honey Nut Cheerios & Frosted Flakes
-both taste good
-kids will eat

Different
Grape Nuts
-don't like taste
-don't like packaging

Card #5-Trix, Captain Crunch & Cheerios

<u>Similar</u> <u>Different</u>

Trix & Captain Crunch Cheerios

-don't like but kids do -more of a cereal for the whole family

Interview #3

15 cereals identified by participant:

Life Captain Crunch

Lucky Charms Smorz

Raisin Bran Private Label Magic Stars

Honey Nut Cheerios Confruity Crisp
Cheerios Cookie Crisp
Shredded Wheat Crispix
Trix Chex

Total

Card #1-Lucky Charms, Confruity Crisp & Cookie Crisp

SimilarDifferentLucky Charms & Confruity CrispCookie Crisp-colorful-no color added-lots of flavor-not much flavor

Card #2-Cheerios, Magic Stars & Chex

Similar Different
Cheerios & Magic Stars
Chex

-same pieces
-same sizes
-grainy texture

-different pieces
-different sizes
-smooth texture

128

Card #3-Raisin Bran, Captain Crunch & Crispix

Similar

Raisin Bran & Captain Crunch

-healthy -adult targets

Card #4-Trix, Smorz & Life

Similar Trix & Smorz -kids

-sugar added -excitement

Card #5-Honey Nut Cheerios, Shredded Wheat & Total

Similar

Honey Nut Cheerios & Shredded Wheat

Total -healthy -not healthy -adults -kids

-texture -not much texture

Interview #4

15 cereals identified by participant:

Fiber 1 Cinnamon Toast Crunch Rice Krispies Blueberry Morning Kellogg's Raisin Bran Banana Nut Crunch Cranberry Almond Crunch Frosted Flakes Corn Flakes Froot Loops

Cheerios Lucky Charms Trix **Great Grains** Honey Nut Cheerios

Card #1-Fiber 1, Great Grains & Honey Nut Cheerios

Similar

Fiber 1 & Great Grains

-good taste-lots sugar -whole family likes -always on sale -good package

Card #2-Lucky Charms, Trix & Cinnamon Toast Crunch

Similar

Lucky Charms & Trix

-kids like taste

-average amount sugar

-milk not too sweet after cereal eaten

-nice cartoon characters

Card #3-Rice Krispies, Kellogg's Raisin Bran & Cheerios Similar

Rice Krispies & Kellogg's Raisin Bran

-kids cereal

-not very healthy

-good taste

-can get in many sizes

-no other brand

Different

Different

Fiber 1

Different

-kids target

Different

-no sugar

Different

-plain

Life -adults

Crispix -not healthy

Cinnamon Toast Crunch

-kids won't eat-taste

-healthy-bland taste

-only adult cereal

-need more sales

-basic package

-too much sugar

-milk not sweet after cereal eaten

-no cartoon characters

Different

Cheerios

-adult cereal

-too healthy

-tastes too healthy

-my store has only 1 size

-can buy Post raisin bran

2013 The Clute Institute http://www.cluteinstitute.com/

Card #4-Corn Flakes, Froot Loops & Banana Nut Crunch

<u>Similar</u> <u>Different</u>

Corn Flakes & Froot Loops Banana Nut Crunch

-good taste -tried once-never again-terrible taste

-good & crunchy -too hard

-whole family eats -nobody likes after trying

-always on sale -never seen sale

<u>Card #5</u>-Blueberry Morning, Cranberry Almond Crunch & Frosted Flakes Similar

Different

Blueberry Morning Frosted Flakes

&Cranberry Almond Crunch

-both by Post -I think Kellogg's
-adult cereal -kids cereal
-average sugar
-not too chewy
-expensive -pretty cheap

Interview #5

15 cereals identified by participant:

Lucky Charms Peanut Butter Crunch

Great Grains Kix

Cranberry Almond Crunch

Blueberry Morning

Maple Pecan Crunch

Frosted Flakes

Corn Flakes

Rice Krispies

Froot Loops

Cookie Crisp

Frosted Mini Wheats

Honey Bunches of Oats

Corn Chex

Card #1-Great Grains, Honey Bunches of Oats & Kix

Similar Different
Great Grains & Honey Bunches of Oats
-adults
-flakes

Different
Kix
-kids
-round

-more ingredients -less ingredients

Card #2-Cranberry Almond Crunch, Maple Pecan Crunch & Froot Loops

Similar Different
Cranberry Almond Crunch & Maple Pecan Crunch
-natural -nuts Different
Froot Loops
-artificial
-no nuts

-less corn syrup -more corn syrup

Card #3-Frosted Flakes, Cookie Crisp & Blueberry Morning

Similar Different

Frosted Flakes & Cookie Crisp Blueberry Morning

-more sugar -no fruit -kids -adults

Card #4-Lucky Charms, Frosted Mini Wheats & Corn Flakes

SimilarDifferentLucky Charms & Frosted Mini WheatsCorn Flakes-more sugar-less sugar

-kids -adults -fun -not fun

<u>Card #5</u>-Rice Krispies, Corn Chex & Peanut Butter Crunch <u>Similar</u>

Rice Krispies & Corn Chex

-less sugar -add fruit -party food **Different**

Peanut Butter Crunch

-more sugar - don't add fruit -not party food

APPENDIX B

Breakfast Cereal Opinion Survey

You are being asked to participate in a survey to determine your perceptions of the breakfast cereal you just tasted. Participation in this survey is voluntary and confidentially is assured. No individual data will be reported.

THANK YOU!

1) Perceptions of Breakfast Cereal

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	
1	2	3	4	5	

The following questions pertain to the breakfast cereal you just sampled. Please indicate the extent of your agreement or disagreement with each statement by **circling** a number 1 to 5.

1.	This cereal has a good taste.	1 2 3 4 5
2.	Other adults would like the taste of this cereal.	1 2 3 4 5
3.	School children would like the taste of this cereal.	1 2 3 4 5
4.	The packaging for this cereal is very good.	1 2 3 4 5
5.	This cereal has a nice texture.	1 2 3 4 5
6.	This cereal is healthy for you.	1 2 3 4 5
7.	I like the ingredients of this cereal.	1 2 3 4 5
8.	I would purchase this product for my children.	1 2 3 4 5